# $\zeta$ -FUNCTION AND BERNOULLI NUMBERS

BERNDT E. SCHWERDTFEGER

Abstract. Basics on Dirichlet series and Riemann  $\zeta$ -function.

### Preface

This note is based on a manuscript written in 1971. It gathers the salient features of DIRICHLET-series and their convergence, in particular the RIEMANN  $\zeta$ -function, its functional equation<sup>1</sup> and some special values, including BERNOULLI numbers.

The appendix treats the analytical continuation of the  $\zeta$ -function in an elementary way, without using the functional equation.

Berlin, 28 February 2011

© 2001–2015 Berndt E. Schwerdtfeger v1.1, 2015-03-04

1. Dirichlet series

**Lemma 1.1** (ABEL's Lemma). Let  $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequences of complex numbers, let  $C_n = \sum_{0 \le \nu \le n} c_{\nu}$  be the  $n^{th}$  partial sum.

Then we have

(1) 
$$\sum_{n+1}^{n+p} c_{\nu} b_{\nu} = C_{n+p} b_{n+p} - C_n b_{n+1} + \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{n+p-1} C_{\nu} (b_{\nu} - b_{\nu+1})$$

If moreover  $|C_n| \leq C$ ,  $b_n \in \mathbf{R}$  is antitone, positive (i.e.  $b_0 \geq b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots \geq 0$ ), then we have

$$\left|\sum_{n+1}^{n+p} c_{\nu} b_{\nu}\right| \le 2Cb_{n+1}$$

*Proof.*  $c_{\nu} = C_{\nu} - C_{\nu-1}$ 

$$\sum_{n+1}^{n+p} c_{\nu} b_{\nu} = \sum_{n+1}^{n+p} C_{\nu} b_{\nu} - \sum_{n+1}^{n+p} C_{\nu-1} b_{\nu} = \sum_{n+1}^{n+p} C_{\nu} b_{\nu} - \sum_{\nu=n}^{n+p-1} C_{\nu} b_{\nu+1} b_{\nu}$$

which gives the formula (1), the upper bound follows from this (see also [1, V §2], [2, I Übung 16], [3, VIII §1], [6, VI §2]).

**Definition 1.1.** A series like

$$f(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$$

is called a DIRICHLET *series*.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M06; Secondary 11B68, 30B50. Key words and phrases.  $\zeta$ -function, Dirichlet series, Bernoulli numbers. <sup>1</sup>added in 2011

Let  $f_n(s)$  be the  $n^{\text{th}}$  partial sum. Traditionally the variable is written  $s = \sigma + it$ . Remark that  $|n^s| = n^{\sigma}$ 

**Theorem 1.2** (Convergence of DIRICHLET series). If f(s) converges for one value  $s = s_0$ , then f(s) converges for all s with  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ . More precisely: f(s) converges uniformly on any compact subset of the open half plane  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ .

Such a compact set is contained in a compact set of the form  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 + \delta$ ,  $|s - s_0| \leq R$  ( $\delta > 0$ , R > 0 suitably chosen).

*Proof.* We will apply ABEL's Lemma to

$$c_n = \frac{a_n}{n^{s_0}}, \ b_n = \frac{1}{n^{s-s_0}}$$

We then have

$$c_n b_n = \frac{a_n}{n^s}$$

and

$$C_n = f_n(s_0)$$

and get from ABEL that

$$f_{n+p}(s) - f_n(s) = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{n+p} \frac{a_{\nu}}{\nu^s} = \frac{f_{n+p}(s_0)}{(n+p)^{s-s_0}} - \frac{f_n(s_0)}{(n+1)^{s-s_0}} + \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{n+p-1} f_{\nu}(s_0) \left(\frac{1}{\nu^{s-s_0}} - \frac{1}{(\nu+1)^{s-s_0}}\right)$$

As  $f(s_0)$  converges, the partial sums are bounded

$$|f_n(s_0)| \le M$$

Let now be  $\sigma \ge \sigma_0 + \delta$ ,  $|s - s_0| \le R$  ( $\delta > 0$ , R > 0 arbitrary)

$$\frac{1}{\nu^{s-s_0}} - \frac{1}{(\nu+1)^{s-s_0}} = (s-s_0) \int_{\nu}^{\nu+1} \frac{dx}{x^{s-s_0+1}}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{split} |f_{n+p}(s) - f_n(s)| &\leq \frac{M}{(n+p)^{\delta}} + \frac{M}{(n+1)^{\delta}} + MR \cdot \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{n+p-1} \int_{\nu}^{\nu+1} \frac{dx}{x^{\delta+1}} \\ &\leq \frac{2M}{n^{\delta}} + \frac{MR}{\delta} \cdot \frac{1}{(n+1)^{\delta}} \\ &\leq (2 + \frac{R}{\delta}) \frac{M}{n^{\delta}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{with } n \longrightarrow \infty. \end{split}$$

The infimum of  $\sigma_0$ , such that f(s) converges for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ , is called the *convergence* abscissa and will be denoted  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(f)$ .

Obviously, f is holomorphic in the half plane of convergence.

We need another theorem for calculating the convergence abscissa.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $A_n = \sum_{\nu=1}^n a_{\nu}$ .

If  $|A_n| \leq A \cdot n^{\sigma_1}$  for  $n \gg 0$  (with suitable  $\sigma_1 \geq 0$ , A > 0), then  $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1$ . In particular, for bounded  $A_n$  we have  $\sigma_0 \leq 0$ .

See [3, VIII §1], [6, VI prop. 8, 9].

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

*Proof.* We have  $(c_n = a_n, b_n = n^{-s}$  in ABEL's Lemma)

$$f_{n+p}(s) - f_n(s) = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{n+p} \frac{a_{\nu}}{\nu^s} = A_{n+p}(n+p)^{-s} - A_n(n+1)^{-s} + \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{n+p-1} A_{\nu} \left(\nu^{-s} - (\nu+1)^{-s}\right)$$

For s with  $\sigma > \sigma_1 \ge 0$  (in particular  $s \ne 0$ ) we have

$$\nu^{-s} - (\nu+1)^{-s} = s \cdot \int_{\nu}^{\nu+1} \frac{dx}{x^{s+1}}$$

For the absolute value we get

$$\begin{split} |f_{n+p}(s) - f_n(s)| &\leq A \cdot (n+p)^{\sigma_1 - \sigma} + A \cdot (n+1)^{\sigma_1 - \sigma} \\ &+ \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{n+p-1} A \cdot \nu^{\sigma_1} |s| \cdot \int_{\nu}^{\nu+1} \frac{dx}{x^{\sigma+1}} \\ &\leq 2A \cdot n^{-(\sigma-\sigma_1)} + A \cdot |s| \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{n+p-1} \int_{\nu}^{\nu+1} \frac{dx}{x^{\sigma-\sigma_1+1}} \\ &\leq 2A \cdot n^{-(\sigma-\sigma_1)} + A \cdot |s| (\sigma-\sigma_1)^{-1} (n+1)^{-(\sigma-\sigma_1)} \\ &\leq \left(2 + \frac{|s|}{\sigma - \sigma_1}\right) \frac{A}{n^{\sigma-\sigma_1}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{with } n \longrightarrow \infty. \end{split}$$

We have shown that f(s) is convergent for  $\sigma > \sigma_1$ , and therefore we must have  $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_0$ .

# 2. RIEMANN $\zeta$ -Function

The RIEMANN  $\zeta$ -function is the function to the DIRICHLET series  $a_n = 1$ :

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

From the last theorem we see we can take  $\sigma_1 = 1$   $(A_n = n)$ . As the harmonic series diverges,  $\zeta$  has a *pole* at s = 1, therefore we have precisely  $\sigma_0 = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (analytical continuation).  $\zeta$  can be analytically continued to the half plane  $\sigma > 0$  as a meromorphic function with a single pole at s = 1. This pole is simple with residue = 1.

*Proof.* Consider the alternating  $\zeta_2$ -function

$$\zeta_2(s) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} - + \dots$$

The sum of the coefficients is either 1 or 0, hence bounded and  $\sigma_0 \leq 0$ . On the other side it diverges for s = 0, hence exactly  $\sigma_0 = 0$ . If we add

$$\frac{2}{2^s}\zeta(s) = \frac{2}{2^s} + \frac{2}{4^s} + \dots$$

to the  $\zeta_2$ -function we get the  $\zeta$ -function:

$$\zeta_2(s) + 2^{-(s-1)}\zeta(s) = \zeta(s)$$

and we obtain

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{\zeta_2(s)}{1 - \frac{1}{2^{s-1}}}$$

yielding the meromorphic continuation in  $\sigma > 0$ .

Similarly, for  $k = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$  we let

$$\zeta_k(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \dots + \frac{1}{(k-1)^s} - \frac{k-1}{k^s} + \frac{1}{(k+1)^s} + \dots$$

This time the sum of the coefficients takes on the values 0, 1, 2, ..., k - 1, and are bounded again and the same argument as above shows that  $\sigma_0 = 0$ .

(2) 
$$\zeta(s) = \frac{\zeta_k(s)}{1 - \frac{1}{k^{s-1}}} \qquad k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

Poles of  $\zeta$  can only occur, where the denominator in (2) vanishes, because the numerator is holomorphic (in the right half plane). This means for k = 2, 3 for example that

$$2^{s-1} = 1, \quad 3^{s-1} = 1$$

which necessarily implies that

$$s = 1 + \frac{2\pi in}{\log(2)} = 1 + \frac{2\pi im}{\log(3)}$$

which would give  $2^m = 3^n$ , hence n = m = 0. Therefore s = 1 is the only singularity.

We will finally show that the pole at s = 1 has the claimed properties: from the graph of  $1/x^{\sigma}$  we can read that for  $\sigma > 1$  we have

$$\frac{1}{\sigma-1} = \int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x^\sigma} \le \sum_{n\ge 1} \frac{1}{n^\sigma} = \zeta(\sigma) \le 1 + \int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x^\sigma} = 1 + \frac{1}{\sigma-1}$$

so we have  $1 \leq (\sigma - 1)\zeta(\sigma) \leq \sigma$  and

(3) 
$$\lim_{\sigma \to 1} (\sigma - 1)\zeta(\sigma) = 1$$

If now  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n (s-1)^n$  is the LAURENT development around 1, we get from (3) that  $a_n = 0$  for  $n \leq -2$  (simple pole) and  $a_{-1} = 1$  (residue)

RIEMANN [4, VII, p. 147] makes use of the  $\Gamma$ -function to exhibit the analytical continuation of  $\zeta$  to all of **C** and exposing its functional equation at the same time.

Theorem 2.2 (functional equation).

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\zeta(s) = \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)\pi^{-\frac{1-s}{2}}\zeta(1-s)$$

Proof. We follow the reasoning of RIEMANN. He starts with

$$\frac{1}{n^s}\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} = \int_0^\infty e^{-n^2\pi x} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1} dx$$

and introducing the *theta* series<sup>2</sup>

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 \pi x}$$

summing up gives

$$\zeta(s)\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} = \int_0^\infty \psi(x)x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}dx$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>remark that  $2\psi(x) + 1 = \vartheta(0, xi)$ , see [5]

The function  $g(t) = \exp(-t^2\pi x)$  has the FOURIER transform  $\widehat{g}(t) = x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-t^2\pi/x)$ . The POISSON formula

$$\sum g(n) = \sum \widehat{g}(n)$$

implies the theta functional equation

$$2\psi(x) + 1 = x^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2\psi(1/x) + 1).$$

Splitting the integral into  $\int_1^\infty + \int_0^1$  and substituting this functional equation into the second integral he finally obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s)\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} &= \int_{1}^{\infty}\psi(x)x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}dx + \int_{0}^{1}\psi(1/x)x^{\frac{s-3}{2}}dx + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{1}\left(x^{\frac{s-3}{2}} - x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}\right)dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{s} + \int_{1}^{\infty}\psi(x)\left(x^{\frac{s}{2}-1} + x^{-\frac{1+s}{2}}\right)dx \end{aligned}$$

which is invariant under  $s \mapsto 1 - s$ .

See the appendix for another approach to analytical continuation.

## 3. Bernoulli numbers

See [1, V §8] p. 408, [6, VII §4] p. 147.

The numbers  $B_n$  defined in the development of the power series

(4) 
$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = 1 + \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{B_n}{n!} x^n$$

are called BERNOULLI-numbers. They are *rational*, as can be seen from the recursion formula (6) below.

For a polynomial

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$$

we will write symbolically

$$f(B) = a_0 + a_1 B_1 + \dots + a_n B_n$$

and similarly for power series. With this convention (4) can be re-written

(5) 
$$e^{Bx} = \frac{x}{e^x - 1}$$

You see immediately by multiplying the power series that

$$e^{ax} \cdot e^{Bx} = e^{(a+B)x}$$

Theorem 3.1 (recursion formula for BERNOULLI numbers).

(6) 
$$(1+B)^n - B^n = 0 \qquad n \ge 2$$

*Proof.* From (5) it follows that

$$x = e^x \cdot e^{Bx} - e^{Bx} = e^{(1+B)x} - e^{Bx}$$

and you get the result by comparing the coefficients on both sides !

In particular (6) yields for n = 2:  $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ . As is easy to see, the function

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} + \frac{x}{2} = 1 + \sum_{n \ge 2} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

is even, therefore  $B_{2n+1} = 0$   $(n \ge 1)$ . This is the reason why sometimes only the even BERNOULLI numbers are numerated. You find a table with the first 12 resp. 14 even BERNOULLI numbers in BOREWICZ-ŠAFAREVIČ [1] resp. SERRE [6] (the latter denotes our number  $B_{2n}$  by  $(-1)^{n+1}B_n$ ).

Theorem 3.2. [1, V §8 Satz 6]

(7) 
$$\zeta(2m) = (-1)^{m-1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2m}}{2 \cdot (2m)!} B_{2m} \qquad m \ge 1$$

Proof. A simple rearrangement of [2, V §2.3 (3.2)] p. 155 gives

$$\cot z = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{2z}{z^2 - (\pi n)^2}$$

As

$$\cot z = \frac{\cos z}{\sin z} = i \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}} = i + \frac{2i}{e^{2iz} - 1}$$

replacing x = 2iz gives

(8) 
$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = e^{Bx} = 1 - \frac{x}{2} + \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{2x^2}{x^2 + (2\pi n)^2}$$

Now we have

$$\frac{x^2}{x^2 + (2\pi n)^2} = \sum_{m \ge 1} (-1)^{m-1} \left(\frac{x}{2\pi n}\right)^{2m}$$

we put this into (8) and sum up over the *n* to obtain

$$e^{Bx} = 1 - \frac{x}{2} + \sum_{m \ge 1} (-1)^{m-1} \frac{2 \cdot \zeta(2m)}{(2\pi)^{2m}} x^{2m}$$

and by comparison of coefficients this yields (7).

The values of  $\zeta(2n+1)$  are unknown. In 1978 APÉRY proved  $\zeta(3) \notin \mathbf{Q}$ .

Special values for  $n \in \mathbf{N}, n > 0$  are:

$$\zeta(-2n) = 0 \qquad (`trivial' zeros)$$
  
$$\zeta(1-2n) = -B_{2n}/2n \in \mathbf{Q} \qquad (rational values)$$

All non-trivial zeros are in the *critical strip*  $0 \le \sigma \le 1$ . RIEMANN found it most likely that they all lie on the *critical line*  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$  (RIEMANN conjecture). This is known to be true for  $\aleph_0$  zeros, but only some millions of them have explicitly been calculated.

Appendix A. Analytical continuation of the  $\zeta$ -function

Let

$$f_{k,n}(s) = \int_0^1 \frac{t^k}{(n+t)^{s+k}} dt$$
 for  $k \ge 0, n \ge 1$ 

These functions are holomorphic everywhere in  $\mathbf{C}$ : as obviously

$$f_{0,n}(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} \left( \frac{1}{n^{s-1}} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^{s-1}} \right)$$

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

is holomorphic in **C**, and we have

$$f_{k,n}(s) = \int_{n}^{n+1} \frac{(t-n)^{k}}{t^{s+k}} dt = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} (-n)^{i} f_{0,n}(s+i)$$

Since  $|f_{k,n}(s)| \leq \frac{1}{n^{\sigma+k}}$ , the sum

$$f_k(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{k,n}(s)$$

converges for  $\sigma > 1 - k$  and is holomorphic in this half plane. In particular  $f_0(s) = \frac{1}{s-1}$ .

The function  $\frac{1}{k+1} \cdot \frac{t^{k+1}}{(n+t)^{s+k}}$  has the derivative (in t):

$$\frac{t^k}{(n+t)^{s+k}} - \frac{s+k}{k+1} \cdot \frac{t^{k+1}}{(n+t)^{s+k+1}}$$

and by integration from 0 to 1 we get

$$f_{k,n}(s) - \frac{s+k}{k+1} f_{k+1,n}(s) = \frac{1}{k+1} \cdot \frac{1}{(n+1)^{s+k}}$$

and the summation from 1 to  $\infty$  gives in the half plane  $\sigma>1-k$ 

$$f_k(s) - \frac{s+k}{k+1} f_{k+1}(s) = \frac{1}{k+1} \left( \zeta(s+k) - 1 \right)$$

which implies by induction the formula valid for  $\sigma > 1$ :

$$1 + \frac{1}{s-1} - \zeta(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} {\binom{s+i-1}{i}} \frac{1}{i+1} (\zeta(s+i) - 1) + {\binom{s+k}{k+1}} f_{k+1}(s)$$

From this we conclude successively (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) the holomorphy of the function on the left hand side in the whole *s*-plane.

#### References

- [1] Senon I. Borewicz and Igor R. Šafarevič, Zahlentheorie, Birkhäuser, Basel, Stuttgart, 1966.
- [2] Henri Cartan, Elementare Theorie der Analytische Funktionen einer oder mehrerer komplexen Veränderlichen, Hochschultaschenbücher, vol. 112, Bibliographisches Institut, Mannheim, Wien, Zürich, 1966.
- [3] Serge Lang, Algebraic Number Theory, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 110, Springer, 1994.
- [4] Bernhard Riemann, Gesammelte Mathematische Werke, 2. Aufl., Teubner, Leipzig, 1892.
- [5] Berndt E. Schwerdtfeger, On theta functions (2007), available at http:// berndt-schwerdtfeger.de/wp-content/uploads/pdf/theta.pdf.
- [6] Jean-Pierre Serre, Cours d'arithmétique, Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1970.