

# ÉTALE MORPHISMS IN TOPOLOGY

BERNDT E. SCHWERTDFEGER

ABSTRACT. This paper discusses the following types of continuous maps in Topology: étale, separated, proper and covering morphisms, and investigates their relationship. The Galois theory for finite coverings is discussed in more detail.

## PREFACE

The subject of this note are *étale morphisms* in topology, as they are encountered in the theory of *unramified coverings*. It goes back to classes of GIRAUD [2] and VERDIER [6]. A previous version had been published earlier [5].

Berlin, 9 December 2007

© 1998–2016 Berndt E. Schwerdtfeger

v1.1, 28 March 2016

## 1. TERMS THAT PLAY A ROLE

We will move in the category of topological spaces. We will not define those, but assume the reader to have a basic knowledge. The neighbourhood filter of a point  $x \in X$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{V}(x)$  (see BOURBAKI [1]).

**Definition 1.1.** A morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is called *étale* if

$$\forall y \in Y \exists V \in \mathfrak{V}(y) \text{ with } U = f(V) \in \mathfrak{V}(f(y)) \text{ and } f|V : V \xrightarrow{\sim} U \text{ is homeomorph.}$$

**Definition 1.2.** A morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is called *separated* if

$$\forall y_1 \neq y_2 \text{ with } f(y_1) = f(y_2) \exists V_1 \in \mathfrak{V}(y_1), V_2 \in \mathfrak{V}(y_2) \text{ with } V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$$

**Definition 1.3.** A morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is called *proper* if  $f$  is *closed* with *quasi-compact* fibers  $f^{-1}(x) \subset Y$ ,  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 1.4.** A morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is called a *covering* if  $\forall x \in X$  the fiber  $f^{-1}(x)$  is *discrete* and there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  and a homeomorphism  $h$  with

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h : f^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & U \times f^{-1}(x) \\ f \downarrow & \swarrow p_1 & \\ & & U \end{array}$$

where the fiber  $f^{-1}(x)$  over  $x$  is mapped to  $\{x\} \times f^{-1}(x)$ , i.e. you can assume that  $h(y) = (f(y), y) = (x, y)$  for  $y \in f^{-1}(x)$ .

Otherwise put, a covering is a *locally trivial bundle* with a *discrete* fiber.

In an equivalent description you have

$$f^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{y \in f^{-1}(x)} V_y \quad \text{disjoint, } V_y \in \mathfrak{V}(y) \text{ and } f|V_y \xrightarrow{\sim} U$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57M10; Secondary 57S30.

*Key words and phrases*. étale, separated, proper, Galois covering.

In particular, a *covering* is *separated* and *étale*.

In the sequel we will investigate the functorial behaviour of the above classes of morphisms in these situations:

**base change:** given a map  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  and an arbitrary base change  $X_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} X$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longleftarrow & Y_1 \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f_1 \\ X & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & X_1 \end{array}$$

with  $Y_1 = Y \times_X X_1$ , is the property preserved for  $f_1$  ?

**composition:** given two maps  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  and  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ , is the property preserved for  $h = f \circ g : Z \rightarrow X$  ?

## 2. ÉTALE MORPHISMS

**Proposition 2.1.** *Étale maps are stable under base change:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xleftarrow{\psi} & Y_1 \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f_1 \\ X & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & X_1 \end{array}$$

where  $Y_1 = Y \times_X X_1$ . If  $f$  is étale, then  $f_1$  is étale.

Let  $Z \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{f} X$ ,  $h = f \circ g$ . If two of the maps  $f, g, h$  are étale, the third is étale as well.

*Proof.* Let us prove *stability under base change*: pick  $y_1 \in Y_1$ , let  $y = \psi(y_1)$  and  $x_1 = f_1(y_1)$ , such that  $y_1 = (y, x_1)$  with  $f(y) = \varphi(x_1) = x$ . As  $f$  is étale there is  $V \in \mathfrak{A}(y)$  such that  $U = f(V) \in \mathfrak{A}(x)$  satisfies  $f|_V : V \xrightarrow{\sim} U$ . Set  $V_1 = \psi^{-1}(V)$  and  $U_1 = \varphi^{-1}(U)$  then I claim that  $f_1|_{V_1} : V_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} U_1$ . But since  $V_1 = V \times_X U_1$  and  $f_1(v, u_1) = u_1$  this is obvious.

Let us prove the second assertion: it is clear that the composition of étale maps is étale, we are in a local situation like

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W & \xrightarrow{\sim} & V & \xrightarrow{\sim} & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \xrightarrow{g} & Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ & \searrow & \xrightarrow{h} & \searrow & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

and  $f, g$  étale  $\implies h$  étale, and  $f, h$  étale  $\implies g$  étale, and  $g, h$  étale  $\implies f$  étale.  $\square$

Obviously, *étale* mappings  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  are *open*, as this is a local property. But this also holds for their *sections*:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $U \subset X$  be open,  $s : U \rightarrow Y$  be a section of  $f$ , i.e.  $f \circ s = id_U$ . Then  $V = s(U) \subset Y$  is open.*

*Proof.* Take a  $y \in V$ , we will show  $V \in \mathfrak{A}(y)$ . Say  $y = s(x)$  for  $x \in U$ , hence  $f(y) = x$ . Let  $W \in \mathfrak{A}(y)$  be such that  $f|_W : W \xrightarrow{\sim} f(W) \subset U$  and consider  $f^{-1}s^{-1}W \cap W \in \mathfrak{A}(y)$ . For  $z \in W \cap f^{-1}s^{-1}W$  we have  $f(s \circ f(z)) = f(z)$ , which implies  $s \circ f(z) = z \in s(U) = V$ , therefore  $W \cap f^{-1}s^{-1}W \subset V$  and  $V$  is a neighbourhood of  $y$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $U \subset X$  be open,  $s, t : U \rightarrow Y$  sections with  $s(x) = t(x)$  at one point  $x \in U$ . Then  $\exists$  a neighbourhood  $U_1$  of  $x$  such that  $s|_{U_1} = t|_{U_1}$ .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y & \\ & \swarrow t & \\ & & \\ f \downarrow & & \\ X & \longleftarrow & U \end{array}$$

*Proof.*  $s(U) \cap t(U)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $s(x) = t(x)$ . If  $y \in s(U) \cap t(U)$ , then  $y = s(f(y)) = t(f(y))$ , therefore  $s|_{U_1} = t|_{U_1}$  for  $U_1 := f(s(U) \cap t(U))$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be étale,  $h : Z \rightarrow X$  arbitrary,  $\sigma, \tau : Z \rightarrow Y$  morphisms  $/ X$  (i.e.  $f \circ \sigma = f \circ \tau$ ) with  $\sigma(z) = \tau(z)$  at one point  $z \in Z$ . Then  $\exists W \in \mathfrak{A}(z)$  such that  $\sigma|_W = \tau|_W$ .*

*Proof.* Define sections  $s, t : Z \rightarrow Z \times_X Y$ ,  $s(z) = (z, \sigma(z))$ ,  $t(z) = (z, \tau(z))$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* The category of étale spaces over  $X$  is equivalent to the category of sheaves on  $X$ , see GODEMENT [3, II, §1.2] *L'espace étalé attaché à un faisceau*; this category is the basic example of a topos  $\text{Top}(X)$ , see SGA 4 [4, IV, 2.1] *Topos associé à un espace topologique*. To an étale mapping  $f : F \rightarrow X$  under this equivalence is associated the sheaf of sections  $\mathcal{F}$  defined by  $\mathcal{F}(U) := \Gamma(U, F) = \{s : U \rightarrow F \mid f \circ s = \text{id}_U\}$ . The fiber over  $x$  is discrete and by Lemma 2.3 isomorphic to the stalk of the sheaf

$$f^{-1}(x) = F_x \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_x = \varinjlim_{U \in \mathfrak{A}(x)} \mathcal{F}(U)$$

by sending a  $y \in F_x$  to the germ of the section  $(f|_V)^{-1}$ ,  $V \in \mathfrak{A}(y)$  suitably chosen. The reverse is done by mapping the germ  $s_x \in \mathcal{F}_x$  to the value  $s(x) \in F_x$ .

### 3. SEPARATED MORPHISMS

**Proposition 3.1.** *Separated maps are stable under base change:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longleftarrow & Y_1 \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f_1 \\ X & \longleftarrow \varphi & X_1 \end{array}$$

where  $Y_1 = Y \times_X X_1$ . If  $f$  is separated, then  $f_1$  is separated.

In a diagram  $Z \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{f} X$  :  $f, g$  separated  $\implies f \circ g$  separated  $\implies g$  separated.

*Proof.*  $f$  separated is equivalent to the diagonal  $\Delta_Y \subset Y \times_X Y$  is closed. For the canonical map  $\psi : Y_1 \times_{X_1} Y_1 \rightarrow Y \times_X Y$  we have  $\psi^{-1}(\Delta_Y) = \Delta_{Y_1}$ . The last assertions follow from the definitions.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *A section  $s$  of a separated morphism*

$$f : Y \overset{s}{\longleftarrow} X$$

*is a closed embedding.*

*Proof.* For *embedding* holds for any section and *closed* follows from  $s(X) = t^{-1}(\Delta_Y)$ , where  $t$  is the section on  $Y$  pulled back from  $s: t(y) = (s \circ f(y), y)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longleftarrow & Y \times_X Y \\ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow s \\ \downarrow f \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow t \\ \uparrow \end{array} \\ X & \longleftarrow & Y \\ & f & \end{array}$$

□

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be étale and separated,  $Z$  connected and  $h: Z \rightarrow X$  arbitrary. Then  $\forall z \in Z$  the maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_X(Z, Y) &\longrightarrow f^{-1}(h(z)) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto \sigma(z) \end{aligned}$$

*are injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Hom}_X(Z, Y)$  and define  $g: Z \rightarrow Y \times_X Y$  by  $g(z) := (\sigma(z), \tau(z))$ .  $g^{-1}(\Delta_Y)$  is closed and open (Cor. 2.4), hence  $g^{-1}(\Delta_Y) = Z$  if  $\neq \emptyset$ , i.e.  $\sigma = \tau$ . □

#### 4. PROPER MORPHISMS

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be proper, then it is quasi-compact:  $\forall K \subset X$  quasi-compact  $\implies f^{-1}(K) \subset Y$  is quasi-compact.*

*Proof.* Start with a family of open sets  $(V_\alpha)_\alpha$  such that  $f^{-1}(K) \subset \bigcup_\alpha V_\alpha =: V$ . For any finite index subset  $I$  define  $V_I := \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} V_\alpha$  and  $U_I := X - f(Y - V_I)$ ,  $U := X - f(Y - V)$ . Obviously  $K \subset U$ ,  $U_I \subset U$ .

Now, for  $u \in U$  we have  $f^{-1}(u) \subset V$ , and by quasi-compactness of the fibers there exists  $I$  such that  $f^{-1}(u) \subset V_I$ , that is  $u \in U_I$  and thus  $K \subset \bigcup_I U_I$ . By quasi-compactness of  $K$  we can find finitely many  $I$ , that is there is an  $I$  with  $K \subset U_I$ . This implies  $f^{-1}(K) \subset V_I$ . □

**Proposition 4.2.** *Proper maps are stable under base change:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longleftarrow & Y_1 \\ \begin{array}{c} \downarrow f \\ \downarrow f_1 \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow f_1 \\ \downarrow \varphi \end{array} \\ X & \longleftarrow & X_1 \end{array}$$

where  $Y_1 = Y \times_X X_1$ .

*If  $f$  is proper, then  $f_1$  is proper.*

*Proof.* For  $x_1 \in X_1$  the fiber  $f_1^{-1}(x_1) = f^{-1}(\varphi(x_1)) \times \{x_1\}$  is quasi-compact.

To show that  $f_1$  is closed let  $A \subset Y_1$  be closed and let us show that  $X_1 - f_1(A)$  is open. Consider a point  $x_1 \in X_1 - f_1(A)$ . For any  $y \in f^{-1}(\varphi(x_1))$  we have  $(y, x_1) \in Y_1 - A$ , therefore there are neighbourhoods  $V$  of  $y$  and  $U_1$  of  $x_1$  with  $V \times U_1 \cap A = \emptyset$ . As the fiber is quasi-compact a finite number of the  $V$  cover the fiber. Replace  $V$  with this finite union and  $U_1$  with the corresponding finite intersection: we have found an open  $V \supset f^{-1}(\varphi(x_1))$  and  $U_1 \ni x_1$  with  $V \times U_1 \cap A = \emptyset$ . Set  $U := X - f(Y - V)$ , then  $\varphi(x_1) \in U$  and  $U$  is open in  $X$ , by continuity of  $\varphi$  and eventually restricting  $U_1$  further we may assume  $\varphi(U_1) \subset U$ . This implies  $f^{-1}\varphi(U_1) \subset V$  and from this we get  $x_1 \in U_1 \subset X_1 - f_1(A)$ . □

**Proposition 4.3.** *In a diagram  $Z \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{f} X$  we have*

- (1)  $f, g$  are proper  $\implies f \circ g$  is proper
- (2)  $f \circ g$  is proper,  $g$  surjective  $\implies f$  is proper
- (3)  $f \circ g$  is proper,  $f$  separated  $\implies g$  is proper

*Proof.* (1) is clear by the lemma 4.1.

(2) Let  $h = f \circ g$ .

$\forall B \subset Y$  is  $f(B) = h(g^{-1}(B))$ , hence  $f$  closed.

$\forall x \in X$  is  $f^{-1}(x) = g(h^{-1}(x))$ , hence  $f$  quasi-compact.

(3) Apply base change:  $Z' = Z \times_X Y$ , consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xleftarrow{p} & Z' \\ h \downarrow & \xrightarrow{s} & \downarrow h_1 \\ X & \xleftarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

$h_1$  is proper by base change (Prop. 4.2), the section  $s$  is defined by  $s(z) := (z, g(z))$ . Now,  $p$  is separated as a base change of  $f$  (Prop. 3.1), hence the section  $s$  is a closed embedding (Prop. 3.2), in particular it is proper. It follows by (1) that  $g = h_1 \circ s$  is proper.  $\square$

## 5. FINITE COVERINGS

**Definition 5.1.** If all fibers of a covering  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  are *finite*, then the map  $X \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ ,  $x \mapsto \#f^{-1}(x)$  is *locally constant* on  $X$  and  $f$  is called *locally finite covering*. It is called (globally) *finite*, if all fibers have the same number  $n$  of points, which is called its *degree*:  $\deg f = n = \#f^{-1}(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *A separated étale morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $x \mapsto \#f^{-1}(x)$  is locally constant, is a locally finite covering.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality assume  $n = \#f^{-1}(x) \quad \forall x \in X$  (restricting to such a neighbourhood).  $f^{-1}(x) = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ . There are open neighbourhoods  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  of  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ , pairwise disjoint, with  $f|_{V_i}$  is homeomorph to its image. Define  $U := \bigcap_i f(V_i)$ , then with  $W_i := f^{-1}(U) \cap V_i$  we have  $f(W_i) = U$  and  $f^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_i W_i$  disjoint.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.**  *$f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a locally finite covering if and only if  $f$  is étale, separated and proper.*

*Proof.* “ $\implies$ ” It remains to show ‘proper’. The fibers are finite, so they are quasi-compact. Let us show ‘closed’. Obviously  $X - f(Y)$  is open, hence  $f(Y)$  closed (and open), so that we may assume  $X = f(Y)$ . Let  $B \subset Y$  be closed,  $x \notin f(B)$ , say  $U$  a neighbourhood of  $x$  with  $f^{-1}(U) = V_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} V_n$ ,  $f|_{V_i} : V_i \xrightarrow{\sim} U$ , and since  $f^{-1}(x) \subset Y - B$  we can assume (eventually shrinking  $V_i$ ) that  $V_i \subset Y - B$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(U) \subset Y - B$ , that is  $U \cap f(B) = \emptyset$  and  $X - f(B)$  is open.

“ $\impliedby$ ” Let  $f$  be étale, separated and proper.  $x \in X$ , the fiber  $f^{-1}(x)$  is discrete and quasi-compact, therefore finite, say  $f^{-1}(x) = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ .

As  $X - f(Y)$  is open, we can assume  $x \in f(Y)$ , that is  $n \geq 1$ . There are pairwise disjoint open sets  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  with  $y_i \in W_i$  and  $f|_{W_i} : W_i \xrightarrow{\sim} f(W_i)$ . Set

$$U := f(W_1) \cap \dots \cap f(W_n) \cap (f(Y) - f(Y - (W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_n)))$$

$U$  is an open neighbourhood of  $x$ . With  $V_i := f^{-1}(U) \cap W_i$  is by construction

$$f^{-1}(U) = V_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} V_n \quad \text{and} \quad f|_{V_i} : V_i \xrightarrow{\sim} U$$

□

This implies good functorial properties through the propositions 2.1, 3.1, 4.2, 4.3.

**Corollary 5.3.** *Stability under base change:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longleftarrow & Y_1 \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f_1 \\ X & \longleftarrow & X_1 \end{array}$$

where  $Y_1 = Y \times_X X_1$ .

If  $f$  is locally finite covering, then  $f_1$  is locally finite covering. A finite covering is stable under base change.

In a diagram  $Z \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{f} X$ ,  $h = f \circ g$  we have

$f, g$  are locally finite coverings  $\implies h$  is locally finite covering.

$f, h$  are locally finite coverings  $\implies g$  is locally finite covering.

$g, h$  are locally finite coverings with surjective  $g \implies f$  is locally finite covering.

From the formula

$$\#h^{-1}(x) = \sum_{y \in f^{-1}(x)} \#g^{-1}(y)$$

we also deduce that

$f, g$  are finite coverings  $\implies h$  is a finite covering.

$g, h$  are finite coverings with surjective  $g \implies f$  is a finite covering.

*Note.*  $g$  need not be finite, if  $f$  and  $h$  are finite, e.g. if  $Z$  and  $Y$  are not connected.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  and  $h : Z \rightarrow X$  be finite coverings over a connected space  $X$  and let  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$  be an  $X$ -morphism  $f \circ g = h$ .

For  $x \in X$  let  $g_x : h^{-1}(x) \rightarrow f^{-1}(x)$  be the fiber map. If one of them is bijective, then all are and  $g$  is a homeomorphism.

*Proof.* According to Cor. 5.3  $g$  is a locally finite covering. If we had  $Y - g(Z) \neq \emptyset$  then this open and closed set would imply  $f(Y - g(Z)) = X$  and a  $y \in Y - g(Z)$  with  $f(y) = x$  would contradict the surjectivity of  $g_x$ . Therefore we have  $g(Z) = Y$  and for all  $y \in Y$  we must have  $\#g^{-1}(y) \geq 1$ . Now for  $x' \in X$  we get  $\deg h = \sum_{y \in f^{-1}(x')} \#g^{-1}(y) \geq \#f^{-1}(x') = \deg f = \deg h$ , thus  $\forall y \in Y \#g^{-1}(y) = 1$ . □

**Lemma 5.5.** Let  $X$  be connected,  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  a finite covering.

Then we have  $Y = Z_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} Z_r$  where  $Z_i$  are the non-empty connected components of  $Y$ , and  $f_i : Z_i \rightarrow X$ ,  $f_i = f|_{Z_i}$ , are surjective finite coverings.

*Proof.* Without restriction assume  $Y \neq \emptyset$  (otherwise  $r = 0$ ). Consider the open and closed subsets  $\emptyset \neq Z \subset Y$ .  $f(Z) = X$ , as  $X$  is connected and  $Z \rightarrow X$  is a finite covering. If  $Z' \subset Z$  and  $Z' \cap f^{-1}(x) = Z \cap f^{-1}(x)$ , then  $Z' = Z$  by the previous Lemma. There are minimal  $Z \neq \emptyset$  and these must be connected. This signifies the finite many minimal  $Z$ 's are the connected components of  $Y$  – and all is done. □

## 6. GALOIS COVERINGS

**Definition 6.1.** A finite covering  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  of connected spaces is called *Galois*<sup>1</sup> with group  $G = G(Y/X) := \text{Aut}(Y/X)$ , if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

- (1)  $\exists y \in Y \quad e_y : G \rightarrow f^{-1}(f(y))$  is bijective  
 $\sigma \mapsto \sigma(y)$
- (2)  $\forall y \in Y \quad e_y : G \rightarrow f^{-1}(f(y))$  is bijective
- (3)  $e : G \times Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \times_X Y$   
 $(\sigma, y) \mapsto (\sigma(y), y)$

*Proof.* (of equivalence) (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is evident. If (1) holds, apply Lemma 5.4 to the diagram (3) /  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a finite covering of connected spaces  $\neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists a finite Galois covering  $h : Z \rightarrow X$  such that

$$e : Z \times \text{Hom}_X(Z, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z \times_X Y \quad / Z$$

$$(z, g) \mapsto (z, g(z))$$

and any  $T \rightarrow X$  with this property, i.e.

$$T \times \text{Hom}_X(T, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} T \times_X Y$$

factors thru  $Z : T \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{h} X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and  $f^{-1}(x) = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ,  $n = \deg f$ . Choose

$$Z \subset (Y/X)^n := Y \times_X \dots \times_X Y \xrightarrow{p_i} Y$$

to be the connected component of  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  and  $h : Z \rightarrow X$  canonical.

By Lemma 3.3  $e$  is injective, but the fiber over  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in Z$  is mapped surjectively onto  $f^{-1}(x) : \text{Hom}_X(Z, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} f^{-1}(x)$ , as  $p_i \in \text{Hom}_X(Z, Y)$ , hence  $e$  is bijective by Lemma 5.4.

It remains to be shown that  $Z/X$  is Galois. Let  $z \in h^{-1}(x)$ , we have  $p_i(z) \in f^{-1}(x)$ , so  $p_i(z) = y_{\sigma(i)}$  for some permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ . Interpret  $\sigma$  as a morphism  $\sigma : (Y/X)^n \rightarrow (Y/X)^n$ . Since  $Z \cap \sigma(Z) \neq \emptyset$  we must have  $Z = \sigma(Z)$ , and thus  $\sigma \in G(Z/X)$  with  $\sigma(y_1, \dots, y_n) = z$  and

$$e_{(y_1, \dots, y_n)} : G(Z/X) \xrightarrow{\sim} h^{-1}(x)$$

is bijective.

The assertion for  $T$  follows at once, since

$$T \rightarrow (Y/X)^n$$

$$t \mapsto (\alpha_1(t), \dots, \alpha_n(t))$$

has image  $Z$ , if  $\text{Hom}_X(T, Y) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  has been suitably numbered.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be Galois, then  $G(Y/X)$  operates simply transitive on  $\text{Hom}_X(Z, Y)$  for any  $h : Z \rightarrow X$ .

<sup>1</sup>also normal

*Proof.* Without restriction assume  $\text{Hom}_X(Z, Y) \neq \emptyset$ , let  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$  be such that  $f \circ g = h$ . Let  $z \in Z$ ,  $y = g(z)$ ,  $x = f(y) = h(z)$  and consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G(Y/X) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Hom}_X(Z, Y) & \hookrightarrow & f^{-1}(x) \\ \rho & \longmapsto & \rho \circ g & \longmapsto & \rho(y) \end{array}$$

The injectivity of these mappings follows from Lemma 3.3, the surjectivity of the composed mapping implies  $G(Y/X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_X(Z, Y)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.3.** *In the situation  $h : Z \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{f} X$  let  $Z/X$  be Galois. Then  $Z/Y$  is Galois, and  $Y/X$  is Galois exactly if  $G(Z/Y) \triangleleft G(Z/X)$  is a normal subgroup. Moreover  $G(Z/X)$  operates transitively on  $\text{Hom}_X(Z, Y)$ . In the Galois case we have canonically*

$$G(Y/X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_X(Z, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(Z/X)/G(Z/Y)$$

*Proof.* Let  $z \in Z$ ,  $y = g(z)$ ,  $x = f(y)$  and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(Z/X) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & h^{-1}(x) & \tau \mapsto \tau(z) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ G(Z/Y) & \hookrightarrow & g^{-1}(y) & \end{array}$$

If  $\tau(z) \in g^{-1}(y)$ , then we have  $g \circ \tau(z) = g(z)$ , hence by Lemma 3.3  $g \circ \tau = g$ , i.e.  $\tau \in G(Z/Y)$  and therefore  $Z/Y$  is Galois. Furthermore the isotropy group of  $g \in \text{Hom}_X(Z, Y)$  in  $G(Z/X)$  is exactly  $G(Z/Y)$ , so

$$G(Z/Y) \backslash G(Z/X) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_X(Z, Y)$$

Now, the set on the right has at most  $\deg f = \deg Y/X$  elements (Lemma 3.3) and the set on the left has exactly  $\deg h / \deg g = \deg f$  elements, which implies  $\text{Hom}_X(Z, Y) = \{g \circ \tau \mid \tau \in G(Z/X)\}$ .

Now let us investigate the case  $Y/X$  Galois: then by Lemma 6.2  $G(Y/X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_X(Z, Y)$ ,  $\rho \mapsto \rho \circ g$  is bijective. This gives us a canonical mapping

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(Z/X) & \longrightarrow & G(Y/X) \\ \tau & \longmapsto & \rho \quad \text{where } \rho \circ g = g \circ \tau \end{array}$$

and we see immediately that this is a homomorphism. The kernel  $G(Z/Y)$  is therefore a normal subgroup.

Now let  $G(Y/X)$  be a normal subgroup and let us show that  $Y/X$  is Galois, that is  $\#G(Y/X) = \deg f$ . Let  $\tau \in G(Z/X)$ ,  $y \in Y$  be given. For any two  $z, z' \in g^{-1}(y)$  there is  $\sigma \in G(Z/Y)$  with  $z' = \sigma(z)$ . By assumption  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} \in G(Z/Y)$ , hence  $g \circ \tau\sigma = g \circ \tau$ , and  $g(\tau(z')) = g(\tau(z))$  and  $g \circ \tau$  is constant on the fiber, so that the definition  $\rho(y) := g(\tau(z))$ , for any  $z \in g^{-1}(y)$  is meaningful. This shows the surjectivity of  $G(Y/X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_X(Z, Y)$ .  $\square$

Now let a connected space  $Y \neq \emptyset$  be given with a finite group  $G < \text{Aut}(Y)$  of homeomorphisms. Let  $X := G \backslash Y$  be the orbit space, the quotient mapping  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is open and proper. Furthermore  $f$  is separated exactly if

$$\forall y \in Y \exists V \in \mathfrak{A}(y) \text{ such that } \forall \sigma \in G - G_y \quad V \cap \sigma(V) = \emptyset$$

Under this condition  $G$  is said to operate on  $Y$  *discontinuously*.

For  $f$  to be étale it is necessary and sufficient that the operation be fixpoint free. We conclude:

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $G \subset \text{Aut}(Y)$  be a finite group, which operates discontinuously and without fixpoints on a connected space  $Y \neq \emptyset$ , let  $X := G \backslash Y$ . Then  $Y$  is a Galois covering of  $X$  with Galois group  $G(Y/X) = G$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [1] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Topologie générale*, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [2] Jean Giraud, *Cours de C3 : Surfaces de Riemann compactes (1969-1970)* (2005), available at [http://sites.mathdoc.fr/PMO/PDF/J\\_GIRAUD\\_1969-70.pdf](http://sites.mathdoc.fr/PMO/PDF/J_GIRAUD_1969-70.pdf).
- [3] Roger Godement, *Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux*, Publications de l'Institut de Mathématique de l'Université de Strasbourg, vol. XIII, Hermann, Paris, 1958, 1998.
- [4] Alexander Grothendieck, Michael Artin, and Jean-Louis Verdier, *SGA 4 : Théorie des Topos et Cohomologie Étales des Schémas, tome 1-3*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 269, 270, 305, Springer, 1972.
- [5] Berndt E. Schwerdtfeger, *Topological Covering Theory*, *Topology Atlas* **429** (2000), 1-9. Preprint 1998.
- [6] Jean-Louis Verdier, *Groupe fondamental étale et topologique* (2007), available at <http://berndt-schwerdtfeger.de/wp-content/uploads/pdf/gfet.pdf>. Cours de 3ème cycle 1970.

## INDEX

<b>B</b>	
base change	
stability under .....	2-4
<b>C</b>	
category	
of étale spaces .....	3
of sheaves .....	3
closed embedding .....	3
composition .....	2
covering .....	1
degree of .....	5
finite .....	5
Galois .....	7
locally finite .....	5
normal .....	7
<b>D</b>	
degree of finite covering .....	5
discontinuous operation .....	8
<b>E</b>	
étale spaces .....	3
<b>G</b>	
Galois covering .....	7
<b>L</b>	
locally finite .....	5
locally trivial bundle .....	1
<b>M</b>	
morphism	
étale .....	1
covering .....	1
proper .....	1
separated .....	1
<b>N</b>	
normal covering .....	7
<b>S</b>	
section .....	2
sheaf of sections .....	3
stability under base change .....	2
étale morphism .....	2
finite morphism .....	6
locally finite morphism .....	6
proper morphism .....	4
separated morphism .....	3
<b>T</b>	
topos of $X$ .....	3